

**WRITTEN STATEMENT PER 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b) REGARDING
SUBSTANCE OF NOVEMBER 5, 2009, EXAMINER INITIATED
TELEPHONE INTERVIEW AND ASSOCIATED COMMUNUCATIONS**

The following described telephone interview was initiated by Examiner Said Broome. That is, the interview was not initiated by the Applicants or Applicants' representative.

Specifically, on October 28, 2009, the undersigned attorney of record received a telephone call from Examiner Broome in which Examiner Broome indicated that he wanted to schedule an Examiner Initiated Telephone Interview.

The Examiner Initiated Telephone Interview took place on November 5, 2009. During the interview Examiner Broome asked the undersigned if the Applicants would be willing to consider amending independent claims 22, 24 and 41 to include limitations that are believed to be the same or similar to the suggested amendments for claims 22, 24 and 41 that are presented on page 20 of the present Office Action mailed on July 2, 2010. The undersigned responded by indicating that he would check with the Applicant and call Examiner Broome back.

On November 10, 2009, the undersigned left a voice mail message for Examiner Broome indicating that the Applicants wanted to send the Examiner some proposed claim amendments and asking whether Examiner Broome preferred to receive them by fax or email.

On November 13, 2009, the undersigned left another voice mail message for Examiner Broome asking for a return call and indicating that the undersigned had noticed on the PAIR system that a new office action had been mailed on November 10, 2009.

The undersigned did not receive a response from Examiner Broome to either of the voice mail messages. There were no further communications regarding the Examiner Initiated Telephone Interview of November 5, 2009.

Those participating in the above-described communications were the undersigned attorney of record Richard Wawrzyniak and Examiner Broome. No exhibits were shown or discussed. No prior art is believed to have been discussed. No other claims are believed to have been discussed. No other issues are believed to have been discussed.

This written statement of the above described Examiner Initiated Telephone Interview is

App. No.10/693,231
Amendment

being provided pursuant to any requirement to do so under 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b), the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 713.04, or any other rules that may require such.